

# Spectral equation $S_1$ for minimax estimators of parameters in linear models

V. L. GIRKO

*Department of Probability and Statistics, Michigan State University  
East Lansing, Michigan 48824*

In many cases the estimation of parameters of simultaneous equations amounts to the search for the minimum value of maximum eigenvalues on a certain set of numbers. There is a wide range of published papers dealing with the estimation problem by means of the spectral theory of linear operators [1,2,6,12]. For this reason such estimators will be called spectral or  $S$ -estimators. In this section a set of  $S$ -estimators for parameters of linear systems, denoted by  $S_1$  is suggested.

Assume that the linear regression model

$$\vec{y} = X\vec{c} + \vec{\varepsilon}$$

is given, where  $\vec{c}$  is an unknown  $m$ -dimensional vector,  $\vec{y}$  is an  $n$ -dimensional vector of observations,

$$X_{n \times m} = (x_{ij}), \quad j = 1, \dots, m; \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad n \geq m$$

is a matrix, and  $\varepsilon$  is an  $n$ -dimensional random vector of unobservable perturbations such that

$$\mathbf{E} \vec{\varepsilon} = 0, \quad \mathbf{E} \vec{\varepsilon} \vec{\varepsilon}^T = R_{n \times n}.$$

Let  $\vec{c}^T D_{m \times m} \vec{c} \leq \alpha$ , where  $D_{m \times m}$  is a positive definite matrix,  $0 < \alpha < \infty$ . We will find a linear transformation of the vector  $\vec{y}$ :

$$T_{m \times n} \vec{y}_n + \vec{t}_m$$

such that the maximum loss function

$$\varphi := \max_{\vec{c}: \vec{c}^T D_{m \times m} \vec{c} \leq \alpha} \mathbf{E} (T\vec{y} + \vec{t} - \vec{c})^T V_{m \times m} (T\vec{y} + \vec{t} - \vec{c}),$$

where  $V_{m \times m}$  is a nonnegative definite symmetric matrix, is minimal. Let this minimum be attained for  $T = \hat{T}_{m \times n}$ ;  $\vec{t} = \hat{\vec{t}}_m$ . The optimal vector

$$\hat{\vec{c}} = \hat{T} \vec{y} + \hat{\vec{t}}$$

is called the  $S_1$ -estimator (or minimax estimator) of the vector  $\vec{c}$ . Let

$$Y = R^{-1/2} X, \quad B = D^{-1/2} V D^{-1/2} = U \Gamma^2 U^T,$$

where  $U_{m \times m}$  is the orthogonal matrix of eigenvectors, and  $\Gamma_{m \times m} = (\gamma_i^{1/2} \delta_{ij})$  is the diagonal matrix. Denote by

$$\gamma_1 \geq \gamma_2 \geq \cdots \geq \gamma_s > 0, \gamma_{s+1} = \cdots = \gamma_m = 0$$

the eigenvalues of the matrix  $B$ , where  $s$  is an integer,

$$Z = YD^{-1/2}U = HW^{1/2}, H = Z(Z^T Z)^{-1/2}, W = Z^T Z.$$

Let  $L_{s \times m}$  be the set of real matrices of the size  $s \times m$ , and let  $K_m$  be the set of real vectors of dimension  $m$ .

**THEOREM 5.1.** *If the matrices  $D, X^T X$  and  $R$  are nondegenerate, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{T_{m \times n} \in L_{m \times n}, \vec{t}_m \in K_m} \max_{\vec{c} \in K_m: \vec{c}^T D \vec{c} \leq a} \mathbf{E} (\vec{c} - T_{m \times n} \vec{y} \pm \vec{t}_m)^T V (\vec{c} - T_{m \times n} \vec{y} \pm \vec{t}_m) \\ &= \min_{\hat{T} \in L_{m \times n}} \left\{ a \lambda_{\max} \left[ D^{-1/2} (I - \hat{T} X)^T V (I - \hat{T} X) D^{-1/2} \right] + \text{Tr} \hat{T}^T V \hat{T} R \right\} \\ &= \min_{A_{s \times m} \in L_{s \times m}} \left\{ a \lambda_{\max} [A_{s \times m}^T A_{s \times m}] + \text{Tr} W^{\pm 1} (A_{s \times m} + \Gamma_{s \times m})^T (A_{s \times m} + \Gamma_{s \times m}) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$V^{1/2} \hat{\vec{t}} = \vec{0},$$

$\lambda_{\max}$  is the maximum eigenvalue of a matrix,  $I_{m \times m}$  is the identity matrix,

$$\Gamma_{s \times m} = [\Gamma_{s \times s}, 0_{s \times m-s}], \Gamma_{s \times s} = (\delta_{kl} \sqrt{\gamma_k})_{k,l=1}^s, 0_{s \times (m-s)}$$

is the matrix with zero entries,

$$\hat{T} = D^{-1/2} U \begin{bmatrix} (\Gamma_{s \times s}^{-1} A_{s \times m} + I_{s \times m}) U^T D^{1/2} (X^T R^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T R^{-1} \\ A_{(m-s) \times n} \end{bmatrix},$$

and  $A_{(m-s) \times n}$  are arbitrary matrices from the set  $L_{(m-s) \times n}$ ,  $I_{s \times m} = [I_{s \times s}, 0_{s \times m-s}]$ .

*Proof.* We transform the criterion of estimates quality by substituting the value of the vector and calculate the expectation

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi := & \max_{\vec{c} \in K_m: \vec{c}^T D \vec{c} \leq a} \left\{ \vec{c}^T (TX - I)^T V (TX - I) \vec{c} \right. \\ & \left. + 2 |\vec{t}^T V (TX - I) \vec{c}| \right\} + \vec{t}^T V \vec{t} + \text{Tr} R^{1/2} T^T V T R^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

If we find a minimum for some  $\vec{t} \in K_m$  then it is easy to see that  $\vec{t}$  satisfies the equation  $V^{1/2} \vec{t} = \vec{0}$ . Let us make the change of variables in the expression for  $\varphi$ :

$$T = \tilde{T} R^{-1/2}, \tilde{T} \in L_{m \times n}, \vec{c} = D^{-1/2} \tilde{\vec{c}}, \tilde{\vec{c}} \in K_m.$$

Then by Rayleigh's formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi &= a\lambda_{\max} \left\{ D^{-1/2} (\tilde{T}Y - I)^T V (\tilde{T}Y - I) D^{-1/2} \right\} + \text{Tr} \tilde{T}^T V \tilde{T} \\ &= a\lambda_{\max} \left\{ \left( D^{1/2} \tilde{T}Y D^{-1/2} - I \right)^T B \left( D^{1/2} \tilde{T}Y D^{-1/2} - I \right) \right\} \\ &\quad + \text{Tr} \tilde{T}^T D^{1/2} B D^{1/2} V \tilde{T}.\end{aligned}$$

From this formula, applying the transformation

$$B = U\Gamma^2 U^T,$$

we get

$$\varphi = a\lambda_{\max}[(T_1 Z - I)^T \Gamma^2 (T_1 Z - I)] + \text{Tr} T_1^T \Gamma^2 T_1, \quad (6.1)$$

where

$$T_1 = U^T D^{1/2} \tilde{T}.$$

Since the matrix  $Z = Y D^{-1/2} U$  can always be represented in the form

$$Z = HW^{1/2}, \quad H = Z (ZZ^T)^{-1/2}, \quad W = ZZ^T,$$

a simple manipulation yields:

$$\varphi = a\lambda_{\max}[(T_1 \tilde{H} \tilde{W} - I_{m \times n})^T \Gamma^2 (T_1 \tilde{H} \tilde{W} - I_{m \times n})] + \text{Tr} T_1^T \Gamma^2 T_1, \quad (6.2)$$

where  $\tilde{H} = [H_{n \times m}, Q_{n \times (n-m)}]$ , a real matrix  $Q$  is chosen so that the matrix  $[H_{n \times m}, Q_{n \times (n-m)}]$  is a square orthogonal matrix;  $I_{m \times n} = [I_{m \times m}, 0_{m \times (n-m)}]$ ,

$$\tilde{W}_{n \times n} = \begin{bmatrix} W^{1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where the matrix  $W^{1/2}$  is augmented by zeros so that it has dimension  $n \times n$ . It is not hard to ascertain by multiplying the matrices that expressions (6.1) and (6.2) coincide. Now we can make in (6.2) the change of variables  $T_1 = T_2 \tilde{H}^T$  where  $T_2 \in L_{m \times (n-m)}$ , which is a one-to-one transformation, since  $\tilde{H}$  is a square orthogonal matrix. After the change, expression (6.2) takes the form

$$\varphi = a\lambda_{\max} \left\{ \left( T_2 \tilde{W} - I_{m \times n} \right)^T \Gamma^2 \left( T_2 \tilde{W} - I_{m \times n} \right) \right\} + \text{Tr} T_2^T \Gamma^2 T_2. \quad (6.3)$$

Considering that the matrix  $T_2 \tilde{W}$  does not depend on the columns of matrix  $T_2$  beginning with the  $(m+1)$ -st column and that

$$T_2 = [T_{2, (m \times m)}, T_{2, m \times (n-m)}].$$

from expression (6.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi = a\lambda_{\max} & \left[ \left( T_{2,(m \times m)} W^{1/2} - I_{m \times m} \right)^T \Gamma^2 \left( T_{2,(m \times m)} W^{1/2} - I_{m \times m} \right) \right] \\ & + \text{Tr} \left[ T_{2,(m \times m)}, T_{2,m \times (n-m)} \right]^T \Gamma^2 \left[ T_{2,(m \times m)}, T_{2,m \times (n-m)} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

Now, prior to searching for the minimum over all matrices  $T_{2,m \times m}$ , we may find the minimum over all matrices  $T_{2,m \times (n-m)}$ . Evidently, the matrix to be found is a solution to the equation

$$\Gamma \hat{T}_{2,m \times (n-m)} = 0_{m \times (n-m)}. \quad (6.5)$$

Turning to expression (6.4) and taking into account (6.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{T_2 \in L_{m \times (n-m)}} \varphi = \min_{T_2 \in L_{m \times (n-m)}} & \left\{ a\lambda_{\max} \left[ \left( T_{2,(m \times m)} W^{1/2} - I_{m \times m} \right)^T \Gamma^2 \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. \times \left( T_{2,(m \times m)} W^{1/2} - I_{m \times m} \right) \right] + \text{Tr} T_{2,(m \times m)}^T \Gamma^2 T_{2,(m \times m)} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

Let us make the change of variables

$$T_{2,m \times m} W^{1/2} - I_{m \times m} = A_{m \times m}$$

in (6.6)

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{T_2 \in L_{m \times (n-m)}} \varphi & = \min_{A \in L_{s \times m}} \left\{ a\lambda_{\max} [A^T \Gamma^2 A] + \text{Tr} W^{-1} (A^T + I_{s \times m}) \Gamma^2 (A + I_{s \times m}) \right\} \\ & = \min_{\tilde{A} \in L_{s \times m}} \left\{ a\lambda_{\max} \left( \tilde{A}_{s \times m}^T \tilde{A}_{s \times m} \right) + \text{Tr} W^{-1} \left( \tilde{A}_{s \times m} + \Gamma_{s \times m} \right)^T \left( \tilde{A}_{s \times m} + \Gamma_{s \times m} \right) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

where  $\tilde{A}_{s \times m} = \Gamma_{s \times s} A_{s \times m}$ ,  $\Gamma_{s \times m} = \{\Gamma_{s \times s}, 0_{s \times (m-s)}\}$ .

Consequently, to complete the proof of Theorem 6.1, we must find the matrix  $\hat{T}$ . Written in tandem are the necessary transformations of this matrix:

$$T = \tilde{T} R^{-1/2}, \quad T_1 = U^T D^{1/2} \tilde{T}, \quad T_1 = T_{2,m \times m} H^T + T_{2,m \times (n-m)} Q^T,$$

$$\Gamma T_{2,m \times (n-m)} = 0_{m \times (n-m)},$$

$$T_{2,m \times m} = \left[ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \Gamma_{s \times s}^{-1} \tilde{A}_{s \times m} \\ A_{(m-s) \times m} \end{array} \right\} + I_{m \times m} \right] W^{-1/2}.$$

Considering that

$$T_2 \tilde{H}^T = T_{2,m \times m} H^T + T_{2,m \times (n-m)} Q_{n \times (n-m)}^T,$$

we get

$$T_{n \times m} = D^{-1/2} U \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_{s \times s}^{-1} \tilde{A}_{s \times m} \\ A_{(m-s) \times m} \end{bmatrix} W^{-1/2} H_{n \times m}^T + T_{2, m \times (n-m)} Q_{n \times (n-m)}^T \right\} R^{-1/2}.$$

Now we can easily derive the expression for the matrix  $\hat{T}$ , defined in Theorem 6.1. This concludes the proof of the theorem. Thus we have somewhat simplified the search for estimators of the vector and have reduced it to finding

$$\min_{A \in L_{s \times m}} \left\{ a \lambda_{\max}(A^T A) + \text{Tr} W^{-1} (A + \Gamma_{s \times m})^T (A + \Gamma_{s \times m}) \right\}. \quad (6.8)$$

As is easily verified,

$$\lambda_{\max}(A^T A) = \lambda_{\max}(A A^T).$$

For this reason we may assume in what follows that in expression (6.8)  $\lambda_{\max}(A A^T)$  is replaced by  $\lambda_{\max}(A^T A)$ . In looking for the minimum of expression (6.8), we run into the main difficulty arising from the fact that the eigenvalue  $\lambda_{\max}(A A^T)$  of the sought-for matrix  $\hat{A}$  may turn out to be multiple, thus preventing us from utilizing well-known perturbation formulas for eigenvalues of multiplicity 1. To surmount this difficulty, we apply the method proposed in [15]. Consider the function

$$\varphi_\varepsilon(A) := a \mathbf{E} \lambda_{\max}(A A^T + \varepsilon \Xi_{s \times s}) + \text{Tr} W^{-1} (A + \Gamma_{s \times m})^T (A + \Gamma_{s \times m}),$$

where  $\Xi_{s \times s} = (\xi_{ij})$  is a symmetric random matrix, whose entries  $\xi_{ij}$ ,  $i \geq j$ ;  $i, j = 1, \dots, s$  are independent and are distributed in accordance with the normal law  $N[0, (1 + \delta_{ij})2^{-1}]$ ,  $\varepsilon \neq 0$  is a real number. Since the eigenvalues of a square matrix  $K$  are continuous functions of the coefficients of its characteristic equation

$$\det(Iz - K) = 0,$$

for all matrices  $A \in L_{s \times m}$  we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{A \in L_{s \times m}} |\varphi(A) - \varphi_\varepsilon(A)| = 0 \quad (6.9)$$

We prove that the function  $\varepsilon$  is strictly convex. Reasoning as in [10] and applying the Cauchy-Bunyakovskii inequality, we see that for all  $A, B \in L_{s \times m}$ ,  $0 < \alpha, \beta$ ;  $\alpha + \beta = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\alpha A + \beta B) &= a \max_{\vec{c} \in K_m: \vec{c}^T \vec{c} \leq 1} \vec{c}^T (\alpha A + \beta B)^T (\alpha A + \beta B) \vec{c} + \text{Tr} W^{-1} \\ &\quad \times (\alpha A + \beta B + \Gamma_{s \times m})^T (\alpha A + \beta B + \Gamma_{s \times m}) \\ &< a \alpha \lambda_{\max}(A^T A) + a \beta \lambda_{\max}(B^T B) \\ &\quad + \alpha \text{Tr} W^{-1} (A + \Gamma_{s \times m})^T (A + \Gamma_{s \times m}) \\ &\quad + \beta \text{Tr} W^{-1} (B + \Gamma_{s \times m})^T (B + \Gamma_{s \times m}). \end{aligned}$$

A similar argument makes it possible to conclude that the function  $\varphi_\varepsilon(A)$  is likewise strictly convex. Therefore, either of the two functions  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi_\varepsilon(A)$  has unique points of minimums,  $\hat{A}$  and  $\hat{A}_\varepsilon$ , respectively. But then it follows from (6.9) that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \hat{A}_\varepsilon = \hat{A}. \quad (6.10)$$

Let us represent the matrix  $\hat{A}$  :

$$\hat{A}_{s \times m} = \sum_{k=1}^s \lambda_k \vec{u}_k \vec{v}_k^T, \quad \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_j > \lambda_{j+1} \geq \dots \geq \lambda_s > 0,$$

where  $\vec{u}_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, s$  are  $s$ -dimensional orthogonal vectors,  $\vec{v}_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, s$  are  $m$ -dimensional orthogonal vectors and  $j$  is a number such that  $1 \leq j \leq s$ .

**THEOREM 6.2.** *The numbers  $\lambda_k$  and the vectors  $\vec{u}_k$ ,  $\vec{v}_k$  satisfy the  $S_1$ -equation*

$$Wal_1 \sum_{k=1}^j \vec{v}_k \vec{u}_k^T p_k + \lambda_1 \sum_{k=1}^j \vec{v}_k \vec{u}_k^T + \sum_{k=j+1}^s \lambda_k \vec{v}_k \vec{u}_k^T + \Gamma_{s \times m}^T = 0, \quad (6.11)$$

where

$$p_k > 0, \quad \sum_{k=1}^j p_k = 1, \quad Y = R^{-1/2} X, \quad B = D^{-1/2} V D^{-1/2} = U \Gamma^2 U^T,$$

$U_{m \times m}$  is the orthogonal matrix of eigenvectors, and  $\Gamma_{m \times m} = (\gamma_i^{1/2} \delta_{ij})$  is the diagonal matrix,

$$\gamma_1 \geq \gamma_2 \geq \dots \geq \gamma_s > 0, \quad \gamma_{s+1} = \dots = \gamma_m = 0$$

are the eigenvalues of the matrix  $B$ ,  $s$  is an integer, and

$$Z = Y D^{-1/2} U = H W^{1/2}, \quad H = Z (Z^T Z)^{-1/2}, \quad W = Z^T Z.$$

*Proof.* Let us first prove that there exists the derivative

$$(\partial/\partial\gamma)\varphi_\varepsilon(A + \gamma\Theta)|_{\gamma=0}, \quad \Theta \in L_{s \times m}.$$

To do this, we consider the expression

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\gamma \downarrow 0} \gamma^{-1} [\varphi_\varepsilon(A + \gamma\Theta) - \varphi_\varepsilon(A)] &= \lim_{\gamma \downarrow 0} a \gamma^{-1} \mathbf{E} \left[ \lambda_{\max} \left\{ (A + \gamma\Theta)(A + \gamma\Theta)^T + \varepsilon\Xi \right\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \lambda_{\max}(AA^T + \varepsilon\Xi) \right] (\chi(B) + \chi(\bar{B})) + 2\text{Tr} W^{\pm 1} (A + \Gamma_{s \times m})^T \Theta, \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

where  $B$  is the following random event:

$$B = \{\omega : |\lambda_{\max}(AA^T + \varepsilon\Xi) - \lambda_i(AA^T + \varepsilon\Xi)| > \delta, i \geq 2\}, \quad \delta > 0,$$

$\chi(B)$  is the indicator for the event  $B$ . The density of eigenvalues  $\nu_1 \geq \dots \geq \nu_s$  of matrix  $(A + \gamma\Theta)(A + \gamma\Theta)^T + \varepsilon\Xi$  is

$$p(y_1, \dots, y_s) := c_\varepsilon \int_G \exp \left\{ -\frac{\text{Tr} [(A + \gamma\Theta)(A + \gamma\Theta)^T - HYH^T]^2}{2\varepsilon^2} \right\} \mu(dH) \prod_{i>j} |y_i - y_j|, \quad (6.13)$$

where  $H$  is an orthogonal matrix of the  $s$ -th order,  $\mu$  is the Haar measure on the group  $G$  of orthogonal matrices  $H = (h_{ij})$ ,  $c_\varepsilon$  is the normalizing factor, and  $y_1 > \dots > y_s$ .

Using this density and the Schwarz inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E} \left| \lambda_{\max} \{ (A + \gamma\Theta)(A + \gamma\Theta)^T + \varepsilon\Xi \} - \lambda_{\max} \{ AA^T + \varepsilon\Xi \} \right| \chi(\bar{B}) \\ & \leq \sqrt{2} \left| \mathbf{E} \lambda_{\max}^2 \{ (A + \gamma\Theta)(A + \gamma\Theta)^T + \varepsilon\Xi \} + \mathbf{E} \lambda_{\max}^2 \{ AA^T + \varepsilon\Xi \} \right|^{1/2} \mathbf{E} \chi(\bar{B}) \\ & \leq c \sum_{i=2}^s \mathbf{P} \{ |\nu_1 - \nu_i| \leq \delta \} \leq c_1 \sum_{i=2}^s \int_{|y_1 - y_i| < \delta} p(y_1, \dots, y_s) \prod_{k=1}^s dy_k \leq c_2 \int_{|u| < \delta} |u| du \leq c_3 \delta^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_i$  are constants. By virtue of the perturbation formulas for simple eigenvalues, (6.12) and (6.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} \varphi_\varepsilon(A + \gamma\Theta) \Big|_{\gamma=0} &= \lim_{\gamma \downarrow 0} \gamma^{-1} \left\{ a \mathbf{E} \vec{\psi}_{1\varepsilon}^T \left[ (A + \gamma\Theta)(A + \gamma\Theta)^T - AA^T \right] \vec{\psi}_{1\varepsilon} + \nu(\delta) \right\} \\ & \quad + 2 \text{Tr} W^{-1} (A + \Gamma_{s \times m})^T \Theta, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\vec{\psi}_{1\varepsilon}$  is an eigenvector associated with the eigenvalue  $\nu_1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\nu(\delta)| &\leq c_1 \left[ \delta^2 + \frac{1}{\delta} \left\| (A + \gamma\Theta)(A + \gamma\Theta)^T - AA^T \right\|^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\delta} \left\| (A + \gamma\Theta)(A + \gamma\Theta)^T - AA^T \right\| \right)^{-1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing  $\delta$  in such a way that

$$\lim_{\gamma \downarrow 0} [\gamma^{-1} \delta^2 + \delta^{-1} \gamma] = 0$$

we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} \varphi_\varepsilon(A + \gamma\Theta) \Big|_{\gamma=0} = 2 \mathbf{E} \vec{\psi}_{1\varepsilon}^T \Theta A^T \vec{\psi}_{1\varepsilon} + 2 \text{Tr} W^{-1} (A + \Gamma_{s \times m})^T \Theta. \quad (6.15)$$

Insofar as the function  $\varphi_\varepsilon(A)$  has a unique minimum,  $\hat{A}_\varepsilon$ , and is strictly convex, for all  $\Theta \in L_{s \times s}$  we have

$$(\partial/\partial\gamma)\varphi_\varepsilon\left(\hat{A}_\varepsilon+\gamma\Theta\right)\Big|_{\gamma=0}=0.$$

From this equality, (6.15) and the fact that  $\Theta \in L_{s \times m}$  is an arbitrary matrix, it follows that the unknown matrix  $\hat{A}_\varepsilon$  is given by the equation

$$\mathbf{E} a \hat{A}_\varepsilon^T \vec{\psi}_{1\varepsilon} \vec{\psi}_{1\varepsilon}^T + W^{-1} \left( \hat{A}_\varepsilon^T + \Gamma_{s \times m}^T \right) = 0. \quad (6.16)$$

Moreover this equation always has a unique solution. Since  $\hat{A}_\varepsilon^T = H_\varepsilon \left( \hat{A}_\varepsilon \hat{A}_\varepsilon^T \right)^{1/2}$ , where  $H_\varepsilon = \hat{A}_\varepsilon^T \left( \hat{A}_\varepsilon \hat{A}_\varepsilon^T \right)^{-1/2}$  is an orthogonal matrix, then for small enough  $\varepsilon$  equation (6.16) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} \left\{ a H_\varepsilon \nu_1^{1/2} \vec{\psi}_{1\varepsilon} \vec{\psi}_{1\varepsilon}^T + W^{-1} \left( \hat{A}_\varepsilon^T + \Gamma_{s \times m}^T \right) + H_\varepsilon a \left[ \left( \hat{A}_\varepsilon \hat{A}_\varepsilon^T \right)^{1/2} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - \left( \hat{A}_\varepsilon \hat{A}_\varepsilon^T + \varepsilon \Xi \right)^{1/2} \right] \vec{\psi}_{1\varepsilon} \vec{\psi}_{1\varepsilon}^T \right\} \chi(\nu_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, s) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.17)$$

Let us prove the auxiliary assertion.

LEMMA 6.1. *For a certain subsequence  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \mathbf{E} \nu_1^{1/2} H_\varepsilon \vec{\psi}_{1\varepsilon} \vec{\psi}_{1\varepsilon}^T = \lambda_1 \sum_{k=1}^j p_k \vec{v}_k \vec{u}_k^T, \quad (6.18)$$

where

$$p_k > 0, \quad \sum_{k=1}^j p_k = 1.$$

*Proof.* According to (6.13) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} \vec{\psi}_{1\varepsilon} \vec{\psi}_{1\varepsilon}^T = c_\varepsilon \int_{y_1 > \dots > y_s} \vec{h}_1 \vec{h}_1^T \exp \left\{ -(2\varepsilon^2)^{-1} \text{Tr} \left( \hat{A}_\varepsilon \hat{A}_\varepsilon^T - H Y H^T \right)^2 \right\} \mu(dH) \\ \times \prod_{i>j} |y_i - y_j| \prod_{k=1}^s dy_k, \end{aligned} \quad (6.19)$$

where  $\vec{h}_1$  is the first column vector of the matrix  $H$ . Since the matrix  $\hat{A} \hat{A}_\varepsilon^T$  can always be represented as  $\hat{A} \hat{A}_\varepsilon^T = U_\varepsilon \Lambda_\varepsilon U_\varepsilon^T$ , where  $U_\varepsilon$  is the orthogonal matrix of eigenvectors  $\vec{u}_{i\varepsilon}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, s$  and

$$\Lambda_\varepsilon = (\lambda_{i\varepsilon} \delta_{ij}), \quad \lambda_{1\varepsilon} \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{s\varepsilon}$$

is the diagonal matrix of eigenvalues, making the change of variables  $H = U_\varepsilon \tilde{H}$ ,  $\tilde{H} \in G$  and invoking the invariance of the Haar measure, from formula (6.19) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E} \vec{\psi}_{1\varepsilon} \vec{\psi}_{1\varepsilon}^T &= c_\varepsilon U_\varepsilon \int_{y_1 > \dots > y_s} \tilde{h}_1 \tilde{h}_1^T U_\varepsilon^T \exp \left\{ - (2\varepsilon^2)^{-1} \text{Tr} (\Lambda_\varepsilon - \tilde{H} Y \tilde{H}^T)^2 \right\} \\
&\quad \times \mu(d\tilde{H}) \prod_{i>j} |y_i - y_j| \prod_{k=1}^s dy_k \\
&= U_\varepsilon \left\{ \mathbf{E} \psi_{i1,\varepsilon}^2 (\Lambda_\varepsilon + \varepsilon \Xi) \delta_{ij} \right\}_{i,j=1}^s U_\varepsilon^T,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.20}$$

where  $\vec{\psi}_1(\Lambda_\varepsilon + \varepsilon \Xi)$  is the eigenvector of matrix  $\Lambda_\varepsilon + \varepsilon \Xi$  corresponding to the maximum eigenvalue. Let us represent the matrix  $\hat{A}_\varepsilon$  :

$$\hat{A}_\varepsilon = \sum_{k=1}^s \lambda_{k\varepsilon} \vec{u}_{k\varepsilon} \vec{v}_{k\varepsilon}^T, \quad \lambda_{1\varepsilon} \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{s\varepsilon} > 0,$$

where  $\vec{u}_{k\varepsilon}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, s$  are  $s$ -dimensional orthogonal vectors,  $\vec{v}_{k\varepsilon}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, s$  are  $m$ -dimensional orthogonal vectors and  $j$  is a number such that  $1 \leq j \leq s$ .

The perturbation formulas for eigenvalues imply that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{k\varepsilon} = \lambda_1, \quad k = 1, \dots, j, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{q\varepsilon} = \lambda_q, \quad q = j + 1, \dots, s, \tag{6.21}$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \vec{u}_{k\varepsilon} = \vec{u}_k, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \vec{v}_{k\varepsilon} = \vec{v}_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, s,$$

and since  $\lambda_q \neq \lambda_1, q = j + 1, \dots, s$ , then we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{q=j+1}^s \vec{\psi}_{q\varepsilon} \vec{\psi}_{q\varepsilon}^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{j \times j} & 0_{j \times (s-j)} \\ 0_{(s-j) \times j} & I_{(s-j) \times (s-j)} \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $I$  is a square identity matrix of order  $s - j$ . But in this case  $\psi_{1i}^2(\Lambda_\varepsilon + \varepsilon \Xi) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  for all  $i = j + 1, \dots, s$ , and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{q=j+1}^s \vec{\psi}_{q\varepsilon} \vec{\psi}_{q\varepsilon}^T \neq \begin{bmatrix} 0_{j \times j} & 0_{j \times (s-j)} \\ 0_{(s-j) \times j} & I_{(s-j) \times (s-j)} \end{bmatrix},$$

otherwise. Therefore, setting

$$p_{i\varepsilon} = \mathbf{E} \psi_{i1,\varepsilon}^2 (\Lambda_\varepsilon + \varepsilon \Xi)$$

and utilizing (6.21) from formula (6.20) we obtain that for a certain subsequence  $\varepsilon' \rightarrow 0$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \mathbf{E} \nu_1^{1/2} H_\varepsilon \vec{\psi}_{1\varepsilon} \vec{\psi}_{1\varepsilon}^T = \lambda_1 \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} H_\varepsilon U_\varepsilon p_{i\varepsilon} \delta_{ij}^s \prod_{j=1}^s U_\varepsilon^T = \lambda_1 \sum_{k=1}^j p_k \vec{v}_k \vec{u}_k^T.$$

Hence Lemma 6.1 is proved.

Lemma 6.1 implies that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon' \rightarrow 0} \hat{A}_{\varepsilon'} = \sum_{k=1}^s \lambda_k \vec{u}_k \vec{v}_k^T$$

(see (6.10)). Then, passing to the limit as  $\varepsilon' \rightarrow 0$  in equality (6.16) and utilizing (6.18), we get the  $S_1$ -equation. Theorem 6.2 is proved.

Let us consider several corollaries.

**COROLLARY 6.1.** *If in addition to the conditions of Theorem 6.1  $s = m$ ,  $\Gamma_s = I_{m \times m}$  then  $j = m$  and equation (6.10) has the unique solution*

$$\hat{A} = -I_{m \times m} \text{Tr } W^{-1} [a + \text{Tr } W^{-1}]^{-1},$$

where  $W = Z^T Z$ ,  $Z = R^{-1/2} X D^{-1/2}$ .

*Proof.* We represent the matrix  $W$  in the form  $W = H B H^T$ , where  $H$  is an orthogonal matrix and  $B = (b_i \delta_{ij})$  is a diagonal matrix. Then from equation (6.11) we have

$$B a \lambda_1 \sum_{k=1}^j \tilde{v}_k \tilde{u}_k^T p_k + \lambda_1 \sum_{k=1}^j \tilde{v}_k \tilde{u}_k^T + \sum_{k=j+1}^s \lambda_k \tilde{v}_k \tilde{u}_k^T + I = 0,$$

where  $\tilde{v}_k = H^T v_k$ ,  $\tilde{u}_k = H^T u_k$ .

Multiplying this equation from the right by  $\tilde{u}_k$  we get the system of equations

$$l_1 (B a p_k + I) \tilde{v}_k = -\tilde{u}_k; \quad k = 1, \dots, j; \quad \lambda_q \tilde{v}_q = -\tilde{u}_q; \quad q = j + 1, \dots, m.$$

Hence  $\lambda_q \equiv 1$ ;  $q = j + 1, \dots, m$ . But from the first  $j$  equalities, it follows that

$$l_1 \leq \left[ \tilde{v}_k^T (B a p_k + I) \tilde{v}_k \right]^{-1} < 1.$$

Therefore  $j = m$ . Then

$$B a l_1 \sum_{k=1}^m \tilde{v}_k \tilde{u}_k^T p_k + \lambda_1 \sum_{k=1}^m \tilde{v}_k \tilde{u}_k^T = -I.$$

Denoting

$$U = \left( \tilde{u}_k, k = 1, \dots, m \right), V = \left( \tilde{v}_k, k = 1, \dots, m \right), P = (p_k \delta_{kl})$$

from this equation we have

$$(a B V P + V) U^T = -l_1^{-1} I.$$

Hence

$$(a B V P + V)(a B V P + V)^T = l_1^{-2} I$$

and  $(a K + B^{-1})^2 = l_1^{-2} B^{-2}$ , where  $K = V P V^T$ . It is easy to see that

$$a K + B^{-1} = l_1^{-1} B^{-1}$$

and

$$l_1 = \text{Tr } B^{-1} (a + \text{Tr } B^{-1})^{-1}, \quad V U^T = -I.$$

Corollary 6.1 is proved.

*Corollary 6.2.* If in addition to the conditions of Theorem 6.1  $s = m$ , matrix  $V$  is nondegenerate,  $W = (\omega_i \delta_{ij})$  is a diagonal matrix, then

$$\hat{A}_{m \times m} = -(\lambda_k \delta_{kj})_{k,j=1}^m$$

where

$$\lambda_i = \sum_{k=1}^j \gamma_k^{1/2} \omega_k^{-1} \left[ a + \sum_{k=1}^j \omega_k^{-1} \right]^{-1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, j; \quad \lambda_q = \gamma_q^{1/2}, \quad q = j+1, \dots, m,$$

the number  $j$  satisfies the inequality

$$\sum_{k=1}^j \gamma_k^{1/2} \omega_k^{-1} \left[ a + \sum_{k=1}^j \omega_k^{-1} \right]^{-1} > \gamma_{j+1}^{1/2}, \quad \gamma_{m+1} \equiv 0,$$

and  $\gamma_1 \geq \dots \geq \gamma_m > 0$  are the eigenvalues of the matrix  $B = D^{-1/2} V D^{-1/2}$ .

*Proof.* In this case equation (6.11) has the form

$$W a \lambda_1 \sum_{k=1}^j \vec{v}_k \vec{u}_k^T p_k + \lambda_1 \sum_{k=1}^j \vec{v}_k \vec{u}_k^T + \sum_{k=j+1}^m \lambda_k \vec{v}_k \vec{u}_k^T + \Gamma_{m \times m} = 0.$$

Multiplying this equation by  $\Gamma_{m \times m}^{-1}$ ,  $\vec{v}_k^T$  and  $\vec{u}_k$  we get

$$\vec{v}_k^T \lambda_1 \Gamma^{-1} (a W p_k + 1) \vec{v}_k = -\vec{v}_k^T \vec{u}_k; \quad \vec{v}_q^T \lambda_q \Gamma^{-1} \vec{v}_q = -\vec{v}_q^T \vec{u}_q.$$

In our case

$$\Gamma^{-1} (a W p_k + 1)$$

is a symmetric matrix. Therefore,  $V = U$ ,

$$\{\lambda_1 (a W p_k + I - \Gamma)\} \vec{v}_k = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, j; \quad (\Gamma - \lambda_q I) \vec{v}_q = 0; \quad q = j+1, \dots, m.$$

From this equation we get the system of equations

$$a \omega_k \lambda_1 p_k + \lambda_1 = \gamma_k^{1/2}, \quad k = 1, \dots, j; \quad \lambda_q = \gamma_q^{1/2}, \quad q = j+1, \dots, m.$$

From these equations we obtain the assertion of Corollary 6.2.

## REFERENCES

1. V.L. Girko, S.I. Lyascko and A.G. Nakonechny. On Minimax Regulator for Evolution Equations in Hilbert Space Under Conditions of Uncertainty. *Cybernetics*, N.1, 1987, 67–68 p.

2. V.L. Girko. Spectral Equations  $S_2$  for Minimax Estimations of Solutions of Some Linear Systems\*. Third International Workshop on Model Oriented Data Analysis (Moda-3) St. Petersburg, Petrodvorets, Russia 25–30 May 1992 p.11.
3. V.L. Girko. Spectral Equations  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  for Minimax Estimations of Solutions of some Linear Systems Linear Minimax Estimation - Theory and Practice 3–4 August 1992, Oldenburg.
4. V.L. Girko. Spectral Equation for Minimax Estimator of Parameters of Linear Systems\*. *Calculating and Applied Mathematics*, N.63, 1987, 114–115 p. Translation in *J. Soviet Math.* **66** 2221–2222 (1993).
5. V.L. Girko and N. Christopeit. Minimax Estimators for Linear Models with Nonrandom Disturbances. *Random Operators and Stochastic Equations* **3**, N.4, 1995, 361–377 p.
6. V.L. Girko. Spectral Theory of Minimax Estimation, *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae* **43**, 1996, 59–69 p.
7. V.L. Girko. **Theory of Linear Algebraic Equations with Random Coefficients**, (monograph), Allerton Press, Inc. New York 1996, 320 p.